

REVIEW

- | | YOUR SCORE | HIGHEST POSSIBLE |
|---|------------|------------------|
| 1 Greenhouse gas emissions inventory completed?
0 No
1-5 Yes, partial inventory only reviewing some of the company's emission sources (examples include: offices, retail, manufacturing/production, distribution.)
Assess the completeness of the inventory based on business type:
1 one major source
2 two major sources
3 three or more major sources
4 Yes, almost comprehensive inventory, one major missing source that should have been included
5 Yes, comprehensive inventory | 5 | 5 |
| 2 Rough calculations or standard protocol/calculator?
1 Rough, partial calculations
2 Generalized, but complete calculations (estimates, perhaps using a general calculator)
3 Full calculations using a standard protocol/methodology (e.g., WRI) | 3 | 3 |
| 3 Are Kyoto gases besides CO2 included?
0 Just inventorying CO2 emissions
1 Measuring CO2, CH4, and N2O
2 All relevant, material Kyoto gases included
If other Kyoto gases are not emitted, full points can be awarded | 2 | 2 |

- | | YOUR SCORE | HIGHEST POSSIBLE |
|---|------------|------------------|
| 4 Are indirect emissions accounted for?
(e.g., supply chain, travel, commuting, use/disposal of products/services, investment portfolio)
0 Only accounting for direct and facility energy use emissions
1 Including emissions from one indirect source
2-4 Including emissions from multiple indirect sources, one point per indirect source | 1 | 4 |
| 5 Is there external, qualified third party verification of emissions data, reductions, and reporting?
(where applicable)
0 No
1 Yes, verification by a trade association
2 Yes, verification by a qualified, external consultant working on company's inventory
3 Yes, verification by a qualified, third-party, not involved in developing the inventory
Extra point for verification beyond basic inventory (e.g., verification of physical reductions or reporting) | 2 | 4 |
| 6 Is the inventory an ongoing, regular process accounting for multiple years?
0 One time project
1 Plans for future, annual inventory work
2 At least two inventories completed
3 Multiple inventories completed
4 Multiple inventories completed and a time-series of emissions presented (i.e., emissions covering beyond the baseline and current year) | 3 | 4 |

REVIEW SUBTOTAL 16 22

REDUCE

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| 7 Has a clear goal been set?
0 No target
1 Loose, undefined goal
2-3 Defined goal specifying baseline, reduction amount/percentage, or timeframe but not all three
4 Goal with defined baseline, reduction amount/percentage, and timeframe | 4 | 4 |
| 8 Strength of baseline year used for the reduction goal?
(keeping in mind changes in company's size/composition)
0 No baseline
1 Using year of inventory or 1-4 years back as baseline
2 Using a baseline 5-10 years back
3 Baseline over 10 years back
When scoring, consider if company has significantly changed in size or divested during the time period or if the company has picked a year with atypically high emissions, as this will affect the appropriateness of the baseline; also adjust scoring if company is new and older baselines are not possible | 1 | 3 |
| 9 Magnitude of reduction goal?
(considering size of reduction and target year)
0 No reduction goal
1 Keep emissions constant
2 Up to 5% reduction
3 6-10% reduction
4 >10% reduction | 4 | 5 |
| 10 Have a management plan and organizational structure been established for climate?
0 No plan established
1 General carbon/climate plan established
2 Designation of committee or responsible parties for company climate strategy
3 Designation of key responsible people and a specific plan for climate action
4 Climate strategy incorporated into overall business strategy | 3 | 5 |

- | | | |
|--|---|----|
| 11 Is there top-level support for climate change action?
0 No
1 Senior level executive or Board members designated as responsible for climate issues
2 Clear, public articulation of company's views on climate by CEO and/or top management | 2 | 2 |
| 12 Has the company taken steps towards achieving reduction target?
(interim progress on reduction)
0 No
Points awarded for actions such as the following:
Programs to improve energy efficiency; use of emissions-reducing technology; projects to reduce corporate travel; investments in technology for future reductions; incentive programs; purchase of additional, verifiable offsets; etc. Up to 2 points per action, based on level and depth of actions and company size, for a maximum of 8 points | 6 | 8 |
| 13 Has the company achieved emissions reductions?
0 No
1 Up to 25% of target or reduction in one business area
2 Up to 50% of target or reduction in two business areas
3 Up to 75% of target or reduction in three business areas
4 Up to 99% of target or reduction in almost all business areas
5 Reductions on a timeline to meet high-magnitude goal in a later year
6 Achieved goal reductions
7 Exceeded goal reductions up to 5%
8 Exceeded goal reductions 6-10%
9 Exceeded goal reductions 10-20%
10 Exceeded goal reductions >20%
Points awarded here for absolute or intensity-based achievements | 2 | 10 |

Continued On Reverse

14 Absolute or intensity-based reductions? 1 4

- 0 Only intensity-based (relative) reductions
- 1 Absolute reductions for one sub-unit of the company
- 2 Absolute reductions for multiple but not all sub-units of the company
- 3 All absolute reductions, meeting more than half of the company's current goal
- 4 All absolute reductions, achieving the company's full goal

When scoring, consider if company has significantly changed in size or divested during the time period, as this will affect ease of achieving absolute reductions (note that absolute reductions can be achieved even if a relative target was set)

15 Did the company report reductions prior to setting its current goal? 0 5

- 0 No
- 1 Yes, emissions remained constant over multiple years
- 2 Yes, reductions achieved prior to current goal setting, up to 5% reduction
- 3 6-10% reduction
- 4 >10% reduction

Extra point awarded if reductions were absolute.
Note: not applicable if company has not set a reduction goal; in that case any possible points for reductions will be awarded in Q12 above

16 Has the company made successful efforts to reduce GHG impacts associated with the use of its products/services? 4 4

- 0 No
- 1 Conducting partial analyses (e.g., partial life cycle analysis, eco-assessment, etc) of GHG impacts from use of products/services
- 2 Conducting full analyses of GHG impacts associated with use of some products/services
- 3-4 Producing low/no carbon product line that realizes a reduction in carbon-intensity of the traditional line of products/services (3 for one product line/service, 4 for multiple or all product lines/services)

17 Does the company work to educate its employees, trade association, and/or customers on how they can reduce individual GHG emissions? 3 4
(through direct education programs, incentives, or philanthropic projects)

- 0 No educational efforts

Up to 2 points for each of the following categories: internal employee education, incentives that will increase employee awareness (e.g., tax breaks for using mass transit), education of peer companies within trade association, education of suppliers, and customer/general public education, for a maximum of 4 points (note the decision for 1 vs. 2 points in any category should be made based on scale of efforts)

18 Does the company require suppliers to take climate change action or give preference to those that do? 0 2

- 0 No
- 1 Yes, gives preference to suppliers who take action
- 2 Yes, requires suppliers to take action

REDUCE SUBTOTAL 30 56

POLICY STANCE

19 Does the company support public policy that could require mandatory climate change action by business? 9 10

YOUR SCORE HIGHEST POSSIBLE

- 10 Opposes mandatory climate action by business
- 0 Company silent on the issue of mandatory climate action by business
- 1 Company generally supports reduction-oriented climate policy, or is active in local, state, regional, or federal programs for goal setting and greenhouse gas emissions tracking (e.g. EPA Climate Leaders, California Climate Action Registry, etc.)
- 2-3 Company has supported local policy initiatives on mandatory corporate climate action (2, for a single substantive local initiative; 3, for multiple local initiatives)

- 4-6 Company has supported state or provincial policy initiatives on mandatory corporate climate action (4, for a single, substantive state/provincial initiative; 5, for multiple state/provincial initiative; 6, for large-scale regional initiative)
- 7 Company has supported mandatory corporate climate action through one major federal-level initiative (e.g. USCAP, BICEP, or lobbying for federal legislation)
- 8 Two federal-level initiatives
- 9 Three federal-level initiatives
- 10 Company has shown its support for mandatory corporate climate action through a major international initiative (Points based on demonstrated depth of support in company materials; via media, speeches, advertising; and active lobbying)

POLICY STANCE SUBTOTAL 9 10

REPORT

20 Is the company publicly reporting on emissions, risks, and actions? How is information disclosed? Company-based (e.g., on their website or annual report) or through a credible third-party program (e.g., CDP, GRI, etc.)? 7 10

YOUR SCORE HIGHEST POSSIBLE

- 0 No information on company climate change actions is available
- 1 Minimal, general info available through company report or website
- 2 Relatively more but still general information available through company report or website
- 3 Minimal, general information available through third party (e.g., CDP)
- 4 Relatively more but still general information available through third party
- 5 Significant information (emissions, reductions, goals) on company website/reports
- 6 Highly detailed information (emissions, reductions, goals) on company website/reports

- 7 Significant disclosure through third-party
 - 8 Highly detailed disclosure through third-party
- Two extra points are available: 1 point awarded for other climate action or risk data (e.g., in SEC filings or 10Ks) and 1 point for reporting to the Climate Registry (www.theclimateregistry.org)

21 Are emissions broken out by facility, business unit, country of operations, or other meaningful subsegments? 2 2

- 0 Only total emissions or one lumped number are presented
- 1 Some sub-unit emissions broken out
- 2 Emissions clearly tallied by company-appropriate sub-units

REPORT SUBTOTAL 9 12

	YOUR SCORE	HIGHEST POSSIBLE SCORE		YOUR SCORE	HIGHEST POSSIBLE SCORE
REVIEW	16	22	POLICY STANCE	9	10
REDUCE	30	56	REPORT	9	12

TOTAL SCORE 64 100